



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/075,144	02/14/2002	Doreen S. Rao		
		Doreen S. Rao	BSC-201 (1002/276)	6889
22852 759	0 01/31/2005		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, H	IENDERSON, FARA	WEBB, SARAH K		
LLP	K AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005 -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/075,144	RAO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sarah K Webb	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPIMALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.81X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, by within the statutory minimul will apply and will expire SIX (e. cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	∍ly. communication.			
Status	:						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 l</u>	Vovemb <u>er 2004</u> .		·			
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
•							
Disposition	on of Claims		•				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-44 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5,7,10-12,15,18-20 and 23-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,6,9,13,14,16, 22, 28-44 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burestee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been receivents have been receive ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa ).	al Stage			
Attachment							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) 🔲 No	per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (P ner:	TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/075,144

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim rejections under Camrud et al. have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner has found a new prior art reference that better anticipates the claimed invention. A new rejection in view of Veatch has been made. Therefore, the allowable subject matter indicated in the prior office action has been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant is unsure why claim 21 was examined. Applicant elected the species in Figure 3A, but the listing of claims readable on that species was incorrect. Segment 32 in Figure 3A is a substitute for the segment 32 in Figure 2, so the elected embodiment also includes end pieces (20 and 40) that are not illustrated in Figure 3A. Claim 21 reads on the elected species because it includes end pieces with a cross sectional area larger than that of the body. Claims 5, 7, and 18 did not read on the elected species, so they were not examined.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3,6,9,13,14,16, and 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 4,294,260 to Veatch.

Veatch discloses a device for treating a body canal that is formed by a plurality of interconnected loops (12). The loops can move relative to one another along all three axes

Art Unit: 3731

X, Y, and Z. There two end pieces (18 and 20), and end piece (20) has a cross-sectional area that is larger than that of the loops. There are tubular segments (14) connected to the loops and the segments (14) have an uneven surface.

Claim 31 is a product by process claim. Whether a product is patentable depends on whether it is known in the art or it is obvious, and is not governed by whether the process by which it is made is patentable. Since claim 31 only refers to the process by which the structure is made, it is not given patentable weight.

Claims 28 and 29 only refer to intended use. Veatch states in the abstract that the device is intended for insertion in the urethra and bladder, so it meets the requirements of claims 28 and 29.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 22 and 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veatch.

As explained above, Veatch includes many of the limitations of claims 22-35, except for forming one of the end pieces to have a substantially spherical shape. End piece 18 does have a rounded tip 19 and end piece 20 has circular loop shape. Altering these shapes to be substantially spherical is only matter of design choice.

Art Unit: 3731

The end pieces of both applicant and Veatch perform the same function of retaining the location of the device in the body. Applicant admits that the shape of end piece is a simple matter of design choice and gives multiple embodiments of possible shapes of end pieces:

- a. Paragraph 0038 of the specification states, "The proximal end-piece 20 and the distal end-piece 40 may serve as retention devices to hold the proximal and distal ends of the device 10 at a specific anatomical location. For example, a specific anatomical location includes but is not limited to the renal pelvis, urinary bladder, or a blood vessel. Each end-piece 20, 40 is depicted as an embodiment having a substantially spherical shape in FIGS. 1 and 2, however, the shape of the end-pieces 20, 40 include other embodiments known to the skilled artisan and are not limited to those illustrated."
- b. Figure 6C of the disclosure illustrates a loop shaped end piece 20/40 that is an equivalent substitute for the spherical end piece in Figure 2. This loop shaped end piece in Figure 6C is similar to that of Veatch.

In view of this evidence, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to substitute a substantially spherically shaped end piece for the loop shaped end piece of Veatch.

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veatch in view of US Patent No. 5,209,239 to Watanabe et al. and further in view of US Patent No. 6,214,037 to Mitchell et al.

Veatch does not form the members of biodegradable material. Watanabe discloses a similar examination chain formed as interconnected loops (14). Watanabe teaches that it

Art Unit: 3731

is known for examination chains to be left in the patient for a period of time after it has been delivered into the body canal (column 1, lines 50-55). Mitchell discloses another device adapted for insertion into the urethra, bladder, or other body canal. Mitchell teaches that a device delivered to a body canal can be formed of a biodegradable material so that it does not have to be manually removed (column 4, line 58 through column 5, line 10). For example, the chain of Veatch could be formed of urine soluble material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the chain of Veatch from a biodegradable material, as taught by Watanabe and Mitchell, so that the chain can be left in the body for examination and removed by biodegradation instead of manual force.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhthuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,144

Art Unit: 3731

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 5 KW 01/27/05 Julian M. Moo